

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ISIS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., a  
12 Delaware Corporation,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 SANTARIS PHARMA A/S CORP., a  
16 Delaware Corporation, and  
17 SANTARIS PHARMA A/S, a Danish  
18 Corporation,

19 Defendants.  
20

21 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 3:11-cv-2214-GPC-KSC

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

**(ECF NO. 196)**

21 Pursuant to this Court's November 1, 2013 briefing schedule, Defendants filed  
22 their renewed motion for summary judgment as to their safe harbor defense on  
23 December 6, 2013. (ECF No. 195.) Along with their renewed motion for summary  
24 judgment, Defendants filed a motion to file certain documents under seal. (ECF No.  
25 196.) In their motion to file under seal, Defendants assert these documents should be  
26 filed under seal because they were designated as "CONFIDENTIAL-FOR OUTSIDE  
27 COUNSEL ONLY" pursuant to the amended protective order entered June 3, 2013.


28 Notwithstanding the amended protective order's provision that a motion to file

1 under seal must satisfy “the requirements imposed by applicable law,” (ECF No. 144  
2 at 8-9), Defendants do not set forth any legal standard or argument that would justify  
3 sealing the documents set forth in their motion to file under seal. See Kamakana v.  
4 City & Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (explaining that a party  
5 must demonstrate “compelling reasons” to seal judicial records attached to a dispositive  
6 motion). Because the documents Defendants want sealed are in support of a  
7 dispositive motion, the fact that the documents were marked “CONFIDENTIAL-FOR  
8 OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY” does not, by itself, satisfy the “compelling reasons”  
9 standard as to the specific pieces of information that Defendants want sealed. See id.  
10 at 1183-84.

11 For the foregoing reasons, Defendants’ motion to file under seal, (ECF No. 196),  
12 is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. Defendants shall have until **December 16,**  
13 **2013**, to file a motion to seal that sets forth the compelling reasons for sealing the  
14 specific pieces of information that Defendants want sealed. The documents currently  
15 lodged under seal at ECF Nos. 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205 may remain  
16 so lodged until the Court decides Defendants’ forthcoming motion to seal.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 DATED: December 9, 2013

19   
20 HON. GONZALO P. CURIEL  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28